## Appendix 2 – Tender Evaluation Matrix

			Supp	olier 1	Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
	Quality	Weighting Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4		
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result
1	Technical Question No.1: Project Methodology and Programme	32.5%	3	19.50%	4	26.00%	3	19.50%	2	13.00%
2	Technical Question No.2: Project Resource	20.0%	4	16.00%	4	16.00%	2	8.00%	2	8.00%
3	Technical Question No.3: Project Communication Plan	17.5%	3	10.50%	3	10.50%	3	10.50%	2	7.00%
4	uestion 4: Demonstrating Logistical Solutions in the Live School I	30.0%	3	18.00%	4	24.00%	3	18.00%	2	12.00%
				0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
	Total out of 100%	100.0%	64.00%		76.50%		56.00%		40.00%	
	Total out of 40%	40.0%	25.6%		30.6%		22.4%		16.0%	
			Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
	Social Value	Weighting	Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result
1	Strong Foundations	4.0%	3	2.40%	3	2.40%	3	2.40%	1	0.80%
2	A Future Built for Everyone	4.0%	2	1.60%	3	2.40%	2	1.60%	2	1.60%
3	A Cleaner, More Considerable Brent 1	0.2%	3	0.12%	3	0.12%	2	0.08%	2	0.08%
4	A Cleaner, More Considerable Brent 2	1.8%	3	1.08%	3	1.08%	2	0.72%	2	0.72%
	Total out of 10%	10.0% 5.2		2%	6.00% Supplier 2		4.8% Supplier 3		3.2% Supplier 4	
			Supplier 1							
	Commercial	Weighting	Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
1	Costs submission	50%	£1,174,885.43		£1,545,383.27		£1,619,386.89		£1,601,026.51	
	Total	50%	50.00%		38.01%		36.28%		36.69%	
			Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
	Summary	Weighting	Supplier 1		Supplier 2		Supplier 3		Supplier 4	
	Quality	40%	25.60%		30.60%		22.40%		16.00%	
	Social Value Commercial Total  Ranking		5.20%		6.00%		4.80%		3.20%	
			50.00%		38.01%		36.28%		36.69%	
			80.80%		74.61%		63.48%		55.89%	
			1		2		3		4	